

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/693,924	10/28/2003	Kazuhiro Hayashi	12219/40 3972	
7590 07/25/2005			EXAMINER	
John C. Altmil	ller, Esq.		AMARI, ALE	SSANDRO V
Kenyon & Kenyon Suite 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1500 K Street, N.W.			2872	····
Washington D				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/693,92	4	HAYASHI, KAZUHIRO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alessandr		2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External formal f	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. iii) days, a reply within the statuatutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>09 May 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)□ This action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	c)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
7)								
8)□								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		7	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
		• •		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	P10/88/08)	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

Application/Control Number: 10/693,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings -

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the polarizing mirror of claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In regard to claim 1, lines 7, 9 and 12, the term "polarizing mirror" is neither described in the specification or drawings as part of the original disclosure. Therefore, this term constitutes new matter. Claims 2 and 3 inherit the same issue due to their dependence on claim 1.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In regard to claim 1, lines 7, 9 and 12, the term "polarizing mirror" is not supported by the disclosure in the instant application without undue experimentation.

MPEP § 2164.01(a) states that there are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue." These factors include, but are not limited to:

(A) The breadth of the claims;

Application/Control Number: 10/693,924 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

(B) The nature of the invention;

- (C) The state of the prior art;
- (D) The level of one of ordinary skill:
- (E) The level of predictability in the art;
- (F) The amount of direction provided by the inventor;
- (G) The existence of working examples; and
- (H) The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

The Examiner's conclusion is based on several factors, namely, given the nature of the invention, there is no rationale for inserting a polarizing mirror in the transmission type illumination device since it does not further the objective of reducing field variations. Further, given the level of one of ordinary skill in the art and the level of predictability in the art, the Examiner can find no motivation for combining a polarizing mirror in the claimed device. Further, the inventor provides no direction or even mentions a "polarizing mirror" or shows a "polarizing mirror" in the drawings (see objections to drawings above). Furthermore, the inventor has not provided any working examples.

Therefore, the Examiner after having considered all the evidence in regard to all of the undue experimentation factors has concluded that the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art to use a polarizing

mirror with the transmission type illumination device claimed. Claims 2-3 inherit the same issue.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. A thorough search of the prior art was undertaken by the Examiner but no art was found that read on the claims as currently recited. The following art is made of record and is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Grosskopf US 6,252,717 teaches a transmission type illumination device for stereomicroscopes, at least comprising, in order from a light source, a collector lens, a diffuser and a convex lens, wherein an optical element having a periodical structure in a one-dimensional direction is located in the vicinity of a lens located nearest to a viewing surface side. Osa et al US 6,396,628 in view of Kaminsky et al US 6,636,363 also teach a transmission type illumination device for stereomicroscopes, at least comprising, in order from a light source, a collector lens, a diffuser and a convex lens, wherein an optical element having a periodical structure in a one-dimensional direction is located in the vicinity of a lens located nearest to a viewing surface side as described in the previous office action.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2872

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alessandro V. Amari whose telephone number is (571) 272-2306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,924 Page 7

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ava*d M* 20 July 2005

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER